



CERTIFICATION

AOAC Research Institute *Performance Tested Methods*SM

Certificate No.
081202

The AOAC Research Institute hereby certifies the method known as:

Aller-Tek Gluten ELISA

manufactured by

ELISA Technologies, Inc.
2501 NW 66th Ct.
Gainesville, FL 32653
USA

This method has been evaluated in the AOAC Research Institute *Performance Tested Methods*SM Program and found to perform as stated in the applicability of the method. This certificate indicates an AOAC Research Institute Certification Mark License Agreement has been executed which authorizes the manufacturer to display the AOAC Research Institute *Performance Tested Methods*SM certification mark on the above-mentioned method for the period below. Renewal may be granted by the Expiration Date under the rules stated in the licensing agreement.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Scott Coates".

Scott Coates, Senior Director
Signature for AOAC Research Institute

| | |
|-----------------|-------------------|
| Issue Date | November 26, 2023 |
| Expiration Date | December 31, 2024 |

AUTHORS

ORIGINAL VALIDATION: Laura K. Allred
MODIFICATION FEBRUARY 2018: ELISA Technologies, Inc.

SUBMITTING COMPANY

ELISA Technologies, Inc.
2501 NW 66th Ct.
Gainesville, FL32653

METHOD NAME

Aller-Tek Gluten ELISA

CATALOG NUMBER

510821

INDEPENDENT LABORATORY

Q Laboratories, Inc
1400 Harrison Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45214 USA

APPLICABILITY OF METHOD

Target analyte – Gluten

Matrixes – (1 g) - rice flour (barley flour standard), rice flour (wheat flour standard), and cooked dough (wheat flour standard)

Performance claims - The Aller-Tek Gluten ELISA Assay was designed to quantitate low levels of gluten in food ingredients as well as in prepared and processed foods and beverages.

ORIGINAL CERTIFICATION DATE

August 23, 2012

CERTIFICATION RENEWAL RECORD

Renewed Annually through December 2024.

METHOD MODIFICATION RECORD

1. February 2018 Level 2
2. September 2018 Level 1
3. September 2022 Level 1

SUMMARY OF MODIFICATION

1. Range limit change to add 2.5ppm and removal of 40ppm.
2. Editorial/Clerical changes, Trademark updates.
3. Editorial/clerical changes for clarity.

Under this AOAC *Performance Tested Methods*SM License Number, 081202 this method is distributed by:
NONE

Under this AOAC *Performance Tested Methods*SM License Number, 081202 this method is distributed as:
NONE

PRINCIPLE OF THE METHOD (1)

The Aller-Tek Gluten ELISA Assay uses a monoclonal antibody (401.21) which recognizes both the gliadin and glutenin fractions of gluten (5). This antibody is fixed to the wells of the 96-well plate and binds to available gluten when samples or standards are added to the well. Any unbound material is removed in the first wash step. The Gluten Conjugate, which is a solution containing the same 401.21 antibody bound to the horse radish peroxidase (HRP) enzyme, is then added and allowed to bind any gluten present in the wells. The second wash step removes any unbound gluten conjugate. Finally, a color substrate (TMB) is added, which causes a blue color change in proportion to the amount of HRP present in the well. The Stop Solution stops the TMB reaction and changes the blue color to yellow, and the intensity of this yellow color is then read on a plate reader.

DISCUSSION OF THE VALIDATION STUDY (1)

The AllerTek Gluten ELISA assay performed as expected for both wheat and barley flours in the selected matrixes (rice flour and cooked dough) and test conditions, meeting the product claims. Recovery at the regulatory level of 20 ppm averaged 118% for barley as well as for wheat in the combined in-house and independent data. The RSD_R for all samples 5 ppm or greater was 10.8%.

The lot-to-lot data present evidence that the AllerTek Gluten ELISA assay is stable and can be consistently manufactured with reproducible quality. Robustness data indicated that the AllerTek Gluten ELISA can tolerate minor variations in protocol, including wash method and extract settling time, but sample volume and particularly reagent volume are crucial to the precision of the test. Decreasing the reagent volumes by half resulted in significantly lower test results and may cause false negative test results in real-world testing.

Important comments were received by the independent laboratory which resulted in changes to the test instructions. This included a more specific description of the data analysis and a listing of the particular types of graphs that should be used in Excel for plotting the standard curve.

The AllerTek Gluten ELISA assay can be recommended as a quantitative screening assay for the presence of gluten in raw or cooked foods, including gluten from barley sources. Barley gluten can be accurately quantitated using a separate barley standard curve which is supplied on request with the test kit.

Table 2. ANOVA Analysis of Robustness Data (1)

| | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------|
| ANOVA: AllerTek Gluten – Robustness | | | | | |
| Dependent variable: Result (ppm gluten) | | | | | |
| Independent variables: Wash method, Sample volume, Reagent volume Sample settling time | | | | | |
| <i>Parameter</i> | <i>Estimate</i> | <i>Standard Error</i> | <i>T Statistic</i> | <i>P-Value</i> | |
| CONSTANT | 1.57118 | 0.261394 | 6.01077 | 0.0000 | |
| Wash method | -0.236111 | 0.16025 | -1.4734 | 0.1453 | |
| Sample volume | 0.0119549 | 0.00112637 | 10.6136 | 0.0000 | |
| Reagent volume | 0.0234132 | 0.00112637 | 20.7864 | 0.0000 | |
| Settling time | 0.00554398 | 0.00375457 | 1.4766 | 0.1445 | |
| Analysis of Variance | | | | | |
| <i>Source</i> | <i>Sum of Squares</i> | <i>d.f.</i> | <i>Mean Square</i> | <i>F-Ratio</i> | <i>P-Value</i> |
| Model | 256.889 | 4 | 64.2222 | 138.94 | 0.0000 |
| Residual | 30.97 | 67 | 0.462239 | | |
| Total (Corr.) | 287.859 | 71 | | | |
| R-squared = 89.2412 percent | | | | | |
| R-squared (adjusted for d.f.) = 88.5989 percent | | | | | |
| Standard Error of Est. = 0.679882 | | | | | |
| Mean absolute error = 0.485817 | | | | | |
| Durbin-Watson statistic = 1.24617 (P= 0.0001) | | | | | |
| Lag 1 residual autocorrelation = 0.360455 | | | | | |

Table 5. Wheat Flour in Rice Flour spike results

| Raw Data | | | | | | |
|----------------------|----------------|----------------------|------|--------------|--------|---------|
| Spike Level | Replicates | | | | | Average |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
| 0 ppm | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| 5 ppm | 5.2 | 4.9 | 6.2 | 5.7 | 6.3 | 5.7 |
| 10 ppm | 10.1 | 11.2 | 12.0 | 12.4 | 12.9 | 11.7 |
| 20 ppm | 24.3 | 19.4 | 22.0 | 20.6 | 20.8 | 21.4 |
| 40 ppm | 40.1 | 49.9 | 55.2 | 44.4 | 50.1 | 47.9 |
| 80 ppm | 85.7 | 90.1 | 81.0 | 83.7 | 75.6 | 83.2 |
| Statistical Analysis | | | | | | |
| Spike Level | s _r | RSD _r (%) | Bias | Recovery (%) | 95% CI | |
| 0 ppm | 0.158 | | | | 0.10 | - 0.50 |
| 5 ppm | 0.610 | 10.7 | +0.7 | 114 | 4.90 | - 6.42 |
| 10 ppm | 1.098 | 9.4 | +1.7 | 117 | 10.36 | - 13.08 |
| 20 ppm | 1.855 | 8.7 | +1.4 | 107 | 19.12 | - 23.72 |
| 40 ppm | 5.814 | 12.1 | +7.9 | 119 | 40.72 | - 55.16 |
| 80 ppm | 5.401 | 6.49 | +3.2 | 104 | 76.51 | - 89.93 |

Table 6. Wheat flour in cooked dough spike results (1)

| Raw Data | | | | | | |
|----------------------|----------------|----------------------|------|--------------|--------|---------|
| Spike Level | Replicates | | | | | Average |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
| 0 ppm | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5 ppm | 6.2 | 5.7 | 4.9 | 4.3 | 3.6 | 4.9 |
| 10 ppm | 14 | 10.2 | 11.7 | 12.6 | 11.3 | 11.9 |
| 20 ppm | 27.4 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.7 | 24.1 | 23.6 |
| 40 ppm | 57.7 | 44.2 | 45.0 | 48.1 | 48.4 | 48.7 |
| 80 ppm | 73.9 | 72.3 | 81.4 | 74.1 | 79.5 | 76.2 |
| Statistical Analysis | | | | | | |
| Spike Level | s _r | RSD _r (%) | Bias | Recovery (%) | 95% CI | |
| 0 ppm | 0.000 | | | | 0.00 | - 0.00 |
| 5 ppm | 1.045 | 21.3 | -0.1 | 98 | 3.64 | - 6.24 |
| 10 ppm | 1.429 | 12.0 | +1.9 | 119 | 10.19 | - 13.73 |
| 20 ppm | 2.270 | 9.6 | +3.6 | 118 | 20.82 | - 26.46 |
| 40 ppm | 5.370 | 11.0 | +8.7 | 121 | 42.01 | - 55.35 |
| 80 ppm | 3.963 | 5.2 | -3.8 | 95 | 71.32 | - 81.16 |

Table 7. Barley flour in rice flour spike results (1)

| Raw Data | | | | | | |
|----------------------|----------------|----------------------|------|--------------|--------|---------|
| Spike Level | Replicates | | | | | Average |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
| 0 ppm | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| 5 ppm | 5.1 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 5.2 |
| 10 ppm | 7.4 | 7.7 | 8.4 | 7.6 | 8.0 | 7.8 |
| 20 ppm | 27.0 | 24.3 | 23.1 | 21.3 | 22.6 | 23.7 |
| 40 ppm | 44.5 | 45.8 | 42.1 | 41.2 | 42.5 | 43.2 |
| 80 ppm | 88.8 | 85.2 | 88.5 | 91.2 | 88.8 | 88.5 |
| Statistical Analysis | | | | | | |
| Spike Level | s _r | RSD _r (%) | Bias | Recovery (%) | 95% CI | |
| 0 ppm | 0.044 | | | | 1.32 | - 1.44 |
| 5 ppm | 0.383 | 7.3 | +0.2 | 104 | 4.74 | - 5.70 |
| 10 ppm | 0.389 | 4.9 | -2.2 | 78 | 7.34 | - 8.30 |
| 20 ppm | 2.154 | 9.1 | +3.7 | 118 | 20.98 | - 26.34 |
| 40 ppm | 1.880 | 4.4 | +3.2 | 108 | 40.89 | - 45.55 |
| 80 ppm | 2.142 | 2.4 | +8.5 | 111 | 85.84 | - 91.16 |

REFERENCES CITED

1. Allred, Laura., Evaluation of the ELISA Technologies, Inc., Aller-Tek Gluten ELISA Assay for Qualitative Gluten Analysis, AOAC *Performance Tested Methods*SM certification number 081201.
2. AOAC Research Institute Validation Outline for ELISA Technologies, Inc., Aller-Tek Gluten ELISA Assay for Qualitative Gluten Analysis, Approved – August 2012.