



CERTIFICATION

AOAC Research Institute *Performance Tested Methods*SM

Certificate No.
051101

The AOAC Research Institute hereby certifies the method known as:

EZ Gluten
manufactured by
ELISA Technologies, Inc.
2501 NW 66th Ct.
Gainesville, FL 32653
USA

This method has been evaluated in the AOAC Research Institute *Performance Tested Methods*SM Program and found to perform as stated in the applicability of the method. This certificate indicates an AOAC Research Institute Certification Mark License Agreement has been executed which authorizes the manufacturer to display the AOAC Research Institute *Performance Tested Methods*SM certification mark on the above-mentioned method for the period below. Renewal may be granted by the Expiration Date under the rules stated in the licensing agreement.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Scott Coates".

Scott Coates, Senior Director
Signature for AOAC Research Institute

Issue Date	September 23, 2022
Expiration Date	December 31, 2023

AUTHORS Laura K. Allred and Eun S. Park	SUBMITTING COMPANY ELISA Technologies, Inc. 2501 NW 66 th Ct. Gainesville, FL32653
---	---

METHOD NAME EZ Gluten	CATALOG NUMBER 510EZG
---------------------------------	---------------------------------

INDEPENDENT LABORATORY Q Laboratories, Inc 1400 Harrison Avenue Cincinnati, OH 45214 USA	AOAC EXPERTS AND PEER REVIEWERS Bert Popping ¹ , Kristina Williams ² , Todd Marrow ³ ¹ Eurofins, Hamburg, GERMANY ² Office of Applied Research and Safety Assessment, Maryland, USA ³ University of Guelph, Ontario, CANADA
---	--

APPLICABILITY OF METHOD Target analyte – Gluten

Matrixes – (0.5 g) - rice flour, cooked dough, beer, dog food, stainless steel (2 x 2 in)

Performance claims - This AOAC *Performance Tested Method*SM study evaluated the EZ Gluten assay as an effective method for the detection of gluten in four selected matrixes: rice flour, beer, cooked dough and dog food. In addition, the method was evaluated for its effectiveness in detecting gluten contamination of 1 mg or greater per 2 in² (25 cm²) stainless steel surface area.

ORIGINAL CERTIFICATION DATE May 31, 2011	CERTIFICATION RENEWAL RECORD Renewed annually through December 2023.
--	--

METHOD MODIFICATION RECORD NONE	SUMMARY OF MODIFICATION NONE
---	--

Under this AOAC <i>Performance Tested Methods</i>SM License Number, 051101 this method is distributed by: NONE	Under this AOAC <i>Performance Tested Methods</i>SM License Number, 051101 this method is distributed as: NONE
---	---

PRINCIPLE OF THE METHOD (1)

The EZ Gluten kit is a dipstick-style immunoassay that utilizes the anti-omega gliadin antibody developed by Skerritt and Hill³. This antibody, which reacts with both gliadins and glutenins, is the basis of the AOAC Official Method of Analysis for quantitative gluten analysis⁴. The EZ Gluten test has been optimized to allow this same antibody to detect gluten at levels as low as 10 parts per million (ppm). The EZ Gluten kit was designed for use by both consumers and industry to screen for the presence of gluten in foods and beverages.

DISCUSSION OF THE VALIDATION STUDY (1)

The EZ Gluten assay performed as expected in the selected matrixes (rice flour, cooked dough, dog food and beer) and test conditions, meeting the product claim of detecting as little as 10 ppm of gluten. In testing three different spiking materials in four different matrixes, the EZ Gluten demonstrated 100% specificity and 99% sensitivity at the 10 ppm level. The only false negative results at the 10 ppm level were seen with the bleached all-purpose flour material, and these did not appear to be matrix dependent.

The lot-to-lot data present evidence that the EZ Gluten assay is stable and can be consistently manufactured with reproducible quality. Robustness data indicated that the EZ Gluten can tolerate minor variations in protocol with the exception of the amount of time that the test strip is left in the sample extract. Due to the test format, there must be sufficient time for the sample extract to travel up the test strip, and this time cannot be shortened. This effect is greater when an insufficient sample size is used. A warning to this effect has been included in the test instructions.

Important comments were received by the independent laboratory which resulted in changes to the test instructions (Appendix A). The most valuable was the suggestion that “[a]dding a statement to the package kit insert instructing the user to interpret the [test] line as present regardless of how faint the line appears on the test strip may be beneficial to the user. It may also be helpful to include a statement in the package insert not to correlate the intensity of the hook or test line with the concentration of gluten. These additions to the package insert will add less subjectivity to the test.” In response to this, the package insert now provides a method for the end user to increase the resolution of the bands on the test strip, instructions to interpret the presence of any pink line in the test area as positive, and a warning not to use band intensity to predict gluten concentration.

Changes to the final kit instructions for swabbing (Appendix B) were also made in response to the sensitivity of the test to 1µg of gluten contamination on a stainless steel surface.

The EZ Gluten assay can be recommended as a rapid qualitative screening assay for the presence of gluten in raw or cooked foods and beverages, and for the detection of gluten on environmental surfaces.

Table 1. Robustness Study Results (1)

Run Order	Sample Volume	Sample Settling Time	Extraction Volume	Strip Incubation Time	EZ Gluten Result for Each Replicate					POD	95% CI POD
1	0.4	4	8	5	N	N	N	N	N	0.0	0.00 - 0.43
2	0.6	4	12	20	P	P	P	P	P	1.0	0.57 - 1.00
3	0.4	6	8	5	N	N	P	N	N	0.2	0.04 - 0.62
4	0.4	6	8	20	P	P	P	P	P	1.0	0.57 - 1.00
5	0.4	6	12	5	N	N	N	N	N	0.0	0.00 - 0.43
6	0.6	6	8	5	P	N	N	P	P	0.6	0.23 - 0.88
7	0.4	4	12	5	N	N	N	P	N	0.2	0.04 - 0.62
8	0.4	4	8	20	P	P	P	P	P	1.0	0.57 - 1.00
9	0.6	6	12	5	P	P	P	P	N	0.8	0.38 - 0.96
10	0.6	4	8	20	P	P	P	P	P	1.0	0.57 - 1.00
11	0.6	6	8	20	P	P	P	P	P	1.0	0.57 - 1.00
12	0.6	4	8	5	P	N	N	P	N	0.4	0.12 - 0.77
13*	0.5	5	10	10	P	P	P	P	P	1.0	0.57 - 1.00
14	0.4	4	12	20	P	P	P	P	P	1.0	0.57 - 1.00
15	0.4	6	12	20	P	P	P	P	P	1.0	0.57 - 1.00
16	0.6	4	12	5	N	P	N	N	P	0.4	0.12 - 0.77
17	0.6	6	12	20	P	P	P	P	P	1.0	0.57 - 1.00

N = Negative, P = Positive, POD = Probability of Detection, CI = Confidence Interval

*Standard Conditions

Table 4. Commercial Flour Spikes in Rice Flour (1)

Spike Level (ppm)	Replicates (N)	Positives (x)	POD	95% CI POD
0	30	0	0.00	0.00 - 0.11
5	30	22	0.73	0.56 - 0.86
10	30	28	0.93	0.79 - 0.98
15	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00
20	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00

Table 5. NIST 1567a Wheat Flour Spikes in Rice Flour (1)

Spike Level (ppm)	Replicates (N)	Positives (x)	POD	95% CI POD
0	30	0	0.00	0.00 - 0.11
5	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00
10	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00
15	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00
20	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00

Table 6. PWG Gliadin Spikes in Rice Flour (1)

Spike Level (ppm)	Replicates (N)	Positives (x)	POD	95% CI POD
0	30	0	0.00	0.00 - 0.11
5	30	22	0.73	0.55 - 0.86
10	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00
15	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00
20	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00

Table 7. Commercial Flour Spikes in Beer (1)

Spike Level (ppm)	Replicates (N)	Positives (x)	POD	95% CI POD
0	30	0	0.00	0.00 - 0.11
5	30	13	0.43	0.27 - 0.61
10	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00
15	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00
20	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00

Table 8. NIST 1567a Wheat Flour Spikes in Beer (1)

Spike Level (ppm)	Replicates (N)	Positives (x)	POD	95% CI POD
0	30	0	0.00	0.00 - 0.11
5	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00
10	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00
15	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00
20	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00

Table 9. PWG Gliadin Spikes in Beer (1)

Spike Level (ppm)	Replicates (N)	Positives (x)	POD	95% CI POD
0	30	0	0.00	0.00 - 0.11
5	30	0	0.00	0.00 - 0.11
10	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00
15	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00
20	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00

Table 10. Commercial Flour Spikes in Cooked Dough (1)

Spike Level (ppm)	Replicates (N)	Positives (x)	POD	95% CI POD
0	30	0	0.00	0.00 - 0.11
5	30	15	0.50	0.33 - 0.67
10	30	28	0.93	0.79 - 0.98
15	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00
20	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00

Table 11. NIST 1567a Wheat Flour Spikes in Cooked Dough (1)

Spike Level (ppm)	Replicates (N)	Positives (x)	POD	95% CI POD
0	30	0	0.00	0.00 - 0.11
5	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00
10	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00
15	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00
20	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00

Table 12. PWG Gliadin Spikes in Cooked Dough (1)

Spike Level (ppm)	Replicates (N)	Positives (x)	POD	95% CI POD
0	30	0	0.00	0.00 - 0.11
5	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00
10	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00
15	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00
20	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00

Table 13. Commercial Flour Spikes in Dog Food (1)

Spike Level (ppm)	Replicates (N)	Positives (x)	POD	95% CI POD
0	30	0	0.00	0.00 - 0.11
5	30	28	0.93	0.79 - 0.98
10	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00
15	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00
20	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00

Table 14. NIST 1567a Wheat Flour Spikes in Dog Food (1)

Spike Level (ppm)	Replicates (N)	Positives (x)	POD	95% CI POD
0	30	0	0.00	0.00 - 0.11
5	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00
10	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00
15	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00
20	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00

Table 15. PWG Gliadin Spikes in Dog Food (1)

Spike Level (ppm)	Replicates (N)	Positives (x)	POD	95% CI POD
0	30	0	0.00	0.00 - 0.11
5	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00
10	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00
15	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00
20	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00

Table 16. Commercial Flour Contamination on Stainless Steel (1)

Spike Level (µg)	Replicates (N)	Positives (x)	POD	95% CI POD
0	30	1	0.03	0.01 - 0.17
1	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00
10	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00
50	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00
100	30	30	1.00	0.89 - 1.00

REFERENCES CITED

1. Allred, Laura and Park, Eun., Evaluation of the ELISA Technologies, Inc., EZ Gluten® Assay for Qualitative Gluten Analysis, AOAC Performance Tested MethodsSM certification number 051101.
2. AOAC Research Institute Validation Outline for ELISA Technologies, Inc., EZ Gluten® Assay for Qualitative Gluten Analysis, Approved – May 2011.
3. Fasano, A., Berti, I., Gerarduzzi, T., Not, T., Colletti, R.B., Drago, S., Elitsur, Y., Green, P.H.R., Guandalini, S., Hill, I.D., Pietzak, M., Ventura, A., Thorpe, M., Kryszak, D., Fornaroli, F., Wasserman, S.S., Murray, J.A. & Horvath, K. (2003) Arch. Intern. Med. 163, 286-292.
4. van de Wal Y, Kooy YM, van Veelen P, Vader W, August SA, Drijfhout JW, Pena SA, Koning F. (1999) Eur. J. Immunol, 29(10):3133-9.
5. Skerritt, J.H. & Hill, A.S. (1991) JAOAC 74, 257-264
6. Official Methods of Analysis (2006) 18th Ed., AOAC INTERNATIONAL, Gaithersburg, MD, Method 991.19
7. van Eckert R., Berghofer E., Ciclitira P.J., Chirido F., Denery-Papini S., Ellis H.J., Ferranti P., Goodwin P., Immer U., Mamone G., Mendez E., Mothes T., Novalin S., Osman A., Rumbo M., Stern M., Thorell L., Whim A., Wieser H. (2006). J. Cereal Sci. 43, 331-341